UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,705	10/27/2005	Hiroyasu Taguchi	Q75540	9228
23373 7590 02/23/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		3753		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/554,705	TAGUCHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Bastianelli	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ja</u>	anuary 2010	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are pending in the app	alication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITHOUT CONSIGORATION.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
•	r alastian raquirament	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2008</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application received in Application received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on January 21, 2010 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is acceptable and an action on follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261.

Fukuzawa discloses an apparatus which is fully capable of handling high purity ammonia gas as the fluid valved having a sealing part 6, which comprise a halogen-free resin a polyolefin resin (col. 9, lines 30-33) (polyethylene (PE) is a polyolefin and is seen to be halogen free as it is not mentioned to include halogens) and the sealing part comprises a sealing part body and an abutting material 11 capable of imparting sealing property by abutting against said sealing part body, and at least the abutting part against the sealing part body of said abutting material comprises a ceramic selected from the group consisting of alumina. Polyolefin inherently has a Rockwell hardness of R30 to R150. The apparatus is seen to be a cylinder valve (it is contained in a cylinder, a flow controller (valve controls fluid) and is seen to be a line valve. Regarding claim

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

10, the independent claim 1 is an apparatus claim and since claim 10 is a method is not carried to carry substantial patentable weight as the device is capable of functioning as feeding a high-purity ammonia gas without deteriorating the gas purity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870.

Fukuzawa lacks specifically mentioning that the polyolefin resin is halogen-free. Kimura teaches polyolefin resins are to be halogen-free for environmental conservation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the polyolefin resin of Fukuzawa halogen-free as disclosed by Kimura in order to protect the environment.

6. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Borland US 5,474,105.

Fukuzawa lacks a separate cylinder valve, pressure regulator, flow controller, line filter, and line valve. Borland discloses a separate cylinder valve 60 or 40, pressure regulator 40, flow controller 60 or 40, line filter 34, and line valve 60 or 40. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705

Art Unit: 3753

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a separate cylinder valve, pressure regulator, flow controller, line filter, and line valve as disclosed by Borland in the apparatus of Fukuzawa in order to more accurately control and clean the fluid.

Page 4

- 7. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borland et al. US 5,474,104 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870. Borland discloses an apparatus having a sealing part and/or a gas contacting part 66 or 42, which has a resin and a sealing part, which comprises a sealing part body 66 or 42 and an abutting material 70 or 54 capable of imparting sealing property by abutting against said sealing part body, wherein said sealing part body has a resin, and at least the abutting part against the sealing part body of said abutting material comprises a stainless steel. Borland lacks the resin being halogen-free. Kimura discloses a halogen-free resin made of polyolefin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the material of Borland out of halogen-free resin of polyolefin as disclosed by Kimura in order to protect the environment. Halogen-free resins of polyolefin inherently have a Rockwell surface hardness of R30-R150, it has a cylinder valve 60 or 40, a pressure regulator 40, a flow controller 60 or 40, a line filter 34, and a line valve 60 or 40. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus as it does not deteriorate the gas purity.
- 8. Claims 1-2 and 4-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870.

Beaver discloses an apparatus having a sealing part and/or a gas contacting part 56, which has a halogen-free resin and a sealing part, which comprises a sealing part body 56 and an abutting material 22 capable of imparting sealing property by abutting against said sealing part body, wherein said sealing part body has a material, and at least the abutting part against the sealing part body of said abutting material comprises a stainless steel, a cobalt alloy, a highly corrosion-resistant nickel alloy or a ceramic selected from the group consisting of alumina, aluminum nitride and silicon carbide (col. 3, line 66-col. 4, line 10). Beaver lacks the material made of halogen-free resin. Kimura discloses a halogen-free resin made of polyolefin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the material of Beaver out of halogen-free resin of polyolefin as disclosed by Kimura in order to protect the environment. Halogen-free resins of polyolefin inherently have a Rockwell surface hardness of R30-R150. Beaver discloses a cylinder valve, a pressure regulator 40, a flow controller, and a line valve. The method is seen as practiced by the apparatus and is used to for flow of hazardous/corrosive materials which ammonia is.

9. Claim 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Borland et al. US 5,474,104.

Beaver lacks a line filter. Borland discloses a line filter 34. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the line filter as disclosed by Borland in the valve of Beaver in order to remove contaminants from the fluid.

10. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9-10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Fukuzawa lacks ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Fukuzawa with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

11. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, and 9-10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Fukuzawa lacks ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Fukuzawa with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

12. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuzawa et al. US 5,755,261 in view of Borland US 5,474,105 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Fukuzawa lacks a separate cylinder valve, pressure regulator, flow controller, line filter, and line valve. Borland discloses a separate cylinder valve 60 or 40, pressure regulator 40, flow controller 60 or 40, line filter 34, and line valve 60 or 40. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a separate cylinder valve, pressure regulator, flow controller, line filter, and line valve as

disclosed by Borland in the apparatus of Fukuzawa in order to more accurately control and clean the fluid.

13. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borland et al. US 5,474,104 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Borland lacks ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Borland with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

14. Claims 1-2 and 4-7 and 9-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605.

Beaver discloses flow of hazardous/corrosive fluids but lacks specifically ammonia gas as the fluid. Floh discloses the fluid being ammonia gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fluid of Beaver with ammonia gas as disclosed by Floh in order to be able to safely valve a variety of fluids.

15. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beaver et al. US 5,149,105 in view of Kimura et al. US 20030162870 in view of Floh et al. US 2004/0045605 in view of Borland et al. US 5,474,104.

Beaver lacks a line filter. Borland discloses a line filter 34. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the line

filter as disclosed by Borland in the valve of Beaver in order to remove contaminants from the fluid.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 17. Applicant's arguments filed January 21, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner would like to note that the valves cited are perfectly capable of valving ammonia gas as the structure and material of the valve allows for it to do so and the examiner has also provided a reference, Floh, that states that it is known in the art to valve ammonia gas.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,705 Page 9

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

/John Bastianelli/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753



Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/554,705	TAGUCHI ET A	L.
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Bastianelli	3753	

SEARCHED			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
251	368 318	1/23/2010	JB
137	375		

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner

SEARCH NO (INCLUDING SEARCH	TES STRATEGY)
	DATE	EXMR
EAST with forward and backward citations performed (see printout)	1/23/2010	JB